



## **EMTA 2021 Mark Scheme**

Our EMTA 2021 guideline is designed to be open access, to ensure those presenting have a clear understanding of the panel's expectations. Ideally, it should allow comparison between all styles of presentation, from case studies, to RTCs. In the case of a tie, a decision will be made on count back, with those scoring highest for section one being deemed to have scored highest, followed by sections two through to five.

1. Content	1. Content				
- Overall i	- Overall impression?				
- Were clear aims outlined and conclusions made?					
Marks	1	2	3	4	5
	Limited structure and lacks content		Adequate structure and content		Excellent

2. Originality					
- Does the	- Does the abstract show evidence of independent and original work or introduce a novel				
presenta	ation?				
Marks	1	2	3	4	5
	Introduces no new concepts		Adds to an existing concept		Introduces a novel concept

	r scientific methodok vant literature review		oughout, or in the	case of a case stud	dy/series, was
Marks	1	2	3	4	5
	Limited scientific methodology/research		Appropriate methodology and review of literature		Excellent methodology and thorough review of literature

4. Relevance to Emergency Medicine/ Emergency Medicine training					
<ul> <li>Is the chosen subject capable of generating change in the emergency medicine community, or highlights an area where we could generate change and improvement?</li> </ul>					
Marks	1	2	3	4	5
	Little relevance to EM		Of some relevance to EM		Clear interest to EM community

5. Style					
- Any grai	e abstract conform mmatical or spellin	g mistakes?		abstracts"?	
- Is it writ	ten in a scientific s	tyle with appropria	ite references.		
Marks	1	2	3	4	5
	Poor		Good		Excellent

Total	
Total Marks	/25