Summary of Recommendations

1. When undertaking invasive procedures, clinicians must gain patient consent (verbal or written) unless clinical circumstances dictate this is not possible and the procedure is considered to be in the patient’s best interests.

2. When undertaking invasive procedures, clinicians should ensure that two healthcare practitioners, one of whom should be ST4 or above, independently agree on the site (side) of the procedure, where appropriate.

3. When undertaking invasive procedures, the responsible clinician should ensure all assistants or team members are aware of, the proposed procedure, planned approach and requirements for post-procedure monitoring.

4. The use of checklists is strongly encouraged.

5. Departmental induction and procedure specific training should address safety issues related to invasive procedures.

Scope

This document outlines the general approach to be taken by emergency medicine (EM) clinicians who undertake invasive procedures in adults and children in the emergency department.

Reason for Development

Following the original in 2015, the revised and recently published National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures (NatSSIPs) 2 [1] has led to concern being raised by EM clinicians that this document specifically references invasive procedures taking place in the emergency department (ED) and also newly applies to ‘minor procedures’ whilst appearing not to have had any formal input from EM specialists. The NatSSIPs 2 document clearly has significant applicability, particularly to those patients undergoing procedures in an operating theatre environment; however, strict adherence to all of its principles for all patients undergoing procedures in an ED is unlikely to yield significant safety benefits, is likely to overburden some and may introduce new, unforeseen risks.

The NatSSIPs 2 document [1] addresses multiple key areas of safety practice that have significant relevance to team working in the ED and beyond, including highlighting the need to model appropriate behaviours, the need to understand the importance of strong communication and involving, and engaging the whole team in all aspects of care including quality improvement. Key principles of Standardisation, Harmonisation, and Education are emphasised, as well as a focus on organisational standards such as training (workforce) for safety, developing safer processes, and encouraging engagement for assurance and improvement.

The aim of this document is to provide pragmatic recommendations for EM clinicians undertaking invasive procedures in the ED. This guidance refers to ‘best interest’ decisions in relation to the Mental Capacity Act; legislation in Scotland, especially around adults who lack capacity, differs from the rest of the UK.
**Background**

Emergency medicine clinicians undertake a wide range of procedures, from simple joint manipulations and wound suturing to the much more complex and time critical e.g. emergency resuscitative thoracotomy. Procedures may be undertaken on a wide spectrum of patients who are alert with full capacity or various degrees of cognitive impairment or require procedural sedation, as well as those with considerable physiological compromise. While consent is highly desirable for any procedure, the emergent nature of some procedures requires the EM specialist to act rapidly in the patient’s best interest and occasionally without the benefit of explicit consent. The ED differs from the operating theatre environment in that many procedures can be undertaken by a single clinician, and the need for a team approach is neither necessary nor an effective use of resources.

The NatSIPPs 2 document describes the ED as a location in which *procedures are performed without entering cavities and with small incisions under local anaesthesia in non-theatre areas, e.g. treatment rooms* [1]. These are additionally described as ‘minor’ procedures. Although many of the procedures carried out in ED would fit into this generalised group, it does not include interventions such as open thoracostomy and chest drain insertion or emergency resuscitative thoracotomy. The latter are described as ‘major’ procedures.

**Recommendations**

Box 1 contains a list of invasive procedures which may be undertaken in the emergency department.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 1. Examples of Invasive Procedures in the Emergency Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fascia-iliaca block / Femoral nerve block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular access (internal jugular vein, subclavian vein, femoral vein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest drain (Seldinger technique or open technique)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural aspiration of air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuscitative thoracotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral canthotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resuscitative hysterotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascitic fluid drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar Puncture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whilst not invasive, procedures such sedation and certain types of regional anaesthesia (e.g. Biers block) should be considered **high risk** and comply where applicable with the requirements for an invasive procedure.

Emergency medicine clinicians should aim to comply with the following requirements when undertaking an invasive procedure in the ED.

- Verbal or written consent, unless clinical circumstances dictate this is not possible and the procedure is considered to be in the patient’s best interests.
• Two healthcare practitioners, one of whom should be ST4 or above, independently agreeing on the site (side) of the procedure, where this is appropriate.

• Ensure any assistants or team members are aware of the proposed procedure, planned approach, and any ‘plan B’ in the event of complications and any requirements for post-procedure monitoring.

The use of checklists is strongly encouraged, particularly to ensure auditable compliance with the above three key recommendations but also to ensure the absence of contra-indications and that any significant risks have been accounted for when undertaking these procedures [Appendix 1]. Box 2 below contains a modification of the ‘NatSSIPs Eight’ checklist.

Box 2. Modification of the ‘NatSSIPs Eight’ checklist [1]

1. Consent and verification of site
2. Team Brief
3. Sign In
4. Time Out
5. Reconciliation of items [e.g. guide wires, suture needles]
6. Sign Out
7. Handover/Debrief

The missing step in the checklist above is the Verification of Implant step, which generally does not apply to the ED setting. The other key modification is the removal of ‘site marking’ from the first step, which needs organisational level agreement. For example, considering a patient with a hip fracture, the requirement to consent and mark the appropriate side for fascia-iliac block (FIB) may later lead to confusion when the patient arrives in theatre for the definitive procedure; the presence of site marking may lead theatre teams to believe the patient has consented for the surgical procedure when in fact she/he has only consented for the FIB.

A ‘hot’ debrief is also encouraged after emergency cases or where complications or learning are identified, as this allows the team to provide feedback and take action for future improvement.

It is accepted that on occasions, for example, a high level of patient acuity and / or a time critical procedure, there may be no opportunity to follow some of the key recommendations; clinicians should, therefore, document their rationale for noncompliance in the patient’s record.

For patients undergoing procedural sedation, please see separate RCEM guidance [2] on Procedural Sedation, noting the recommendation for the sedationist to provide a safety brief before undertaking the procedure is undertaken (see box 3) as well as a list of relevant ‘Never Events’.

Box 3. Procedural Sedation Safety Brief should include:

- Roles
- Intended plan, including intended depth and length of sedation as well as determining when the procedure can commence.
- Confirmation of correct side of patient (where applicable)
- Confirmation of equipment checks have taken place (eg. suction working)
- Confirmation of location of rescue devices and drugs - Anticipated problems
For patients with cognitive impairment who lack the ability to consent to invasive procedures, clinicians should act in the patient’s best interests and, where time allows, and it is practical to do so, consult with family members of those who have Lasting Power of Attorney for health.

For issues related to consent in children and young people, please see separate RCEM guidance [3].

Departmental induction programmes and procedure specific training should address safety issues related to high risk and invasive procedures. It is important to ensure that the ED nursing team is aware of what constitutes a high risk or invasive procedure, what guidance needs to be followed, and is empowered to challenge any clinician undertaking a high risk or invasive procedure who is proceeding without the relevant safety checks.

Patients undergoing more minor procedures (often under local anaesthetic) e.g. fracture manipulation, joint reduction, suturing, incision, and drainage must provide consent. Other individual elements of the recommendations for invasive procedures described above may also be applicable on a case by case basis.
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**DO THIS checklist for all invasive procedures**
Including chest drain, central line, LPs, all cases with sedation.

### Before preparing for the intervention & before sedation

**Time Out**
- Confirm Patient Identity
- Team – allocate roles

**Procedure**
- Consent?
- Site marked if required?
- Correct proforma used?
- Equipment confirmed?
- Imaging Reviewed?
- Monitoring applied?
- Medication prepared?
- Airway assessment?
- Additional Support required?
- Allergies?
- Coagulopathy?
- Blood loss risk?
- Retained guidewire risk?

### After the procedure

**Sign Out**
- Procedure: Repeat Time Out if any changes to team or patient
- Has the procedure been recorded?
- Guidewire/swabs/sharps accounted for?
- Have any equipment problems?
- Key concerns for continuing care documented?
- Do we need to debrief?
- Line flushed or cannula removed

---

**WHO Checklist**

**ED**
Appendix 1 Example of an Invasive procedure checklist

**Emergency Department CHEST DRAIN CHECKLIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>DoB</th>
<th>Hosp number</th>
<th>NHS Number</th>
<th>ED episode number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### BEFORE THE PROCEDURE

- **Indication:**
  - Pneumothorax
  - Haemothorax
  - Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Identity checked as correct?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Any drug Allergies?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate Consent completed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Correlates Clinical Signs with CXR?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the case of pneumothorax, have you considered bullous lung disease as a possible alternate diagnosis?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Equipment available &amp; underwater drain / seal prepared? Trocar removed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Coagulopathy &amp; Medicines checked?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Safe Site of drain insertion identified?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirm SITE / SIDE of clinical abnormality by two clinicians - sign below</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Are there any concerns about this procedure for the patient or its timing?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STOP BEFORE YOU BLOCK

- **Clinic 1:** Right
- **Clinic 2:** Left

Have you got the Correct Side?

### TIME OUT

- **Patient is adequately Oxygenated?**
  - Yes | No
- **Patient position is optimal**
  - Yes | No
- **Team members identified & roles assigned**
  - Yes | No

### DURING PROCEDURE

- **Hands washed and Sterile Gloves**
- **Sterile Gown and Mask and Hat**
- **Large Fenestrated Drape**
- **Chlorprop 2% to skin and allowed to dry**
- **Local Anaesthetic:**
  - Lidocaine 1%
  - Lidocaine 2%
  - Other
  - Volume

- **Technique:**
  - Seldinger
  - Surgical

- **Drain Size:**
  - 36F
  - 32F
  - 28F
  - 24F
  - 20F
  - 18F
  - 16F
  - 12F
  - 10F

- **Suture:**
  - Mersilk
  - Ethilon
  - Other

- **Suture Size:**
  - 1/0
  - 2/0
  - 3/0
  - 4/0

### NOTES

### SIGN OUT

- **Sutures, tubing and dressing secured**
  - Yes | No
- **Guidewire removed if Seldinger technique**
- **Patient advised not to elevate drain**
- **Analgesia prescribed**
- **Chest X-ray ordered**
- **Verbal handover to Nurse**
- **Chest drain swinging (+/- bubbling)**

### Chest X-Ray Review

- **Clinician**
- **Date**
- **Time**

### PROCEDURE

- **Operator**
- **Assistant**
Appendix 2 Safety Flash, Fascia Iliaca Block

The Importance of Monitoring After Fascia Iliaca Block (FIB)

The Coroner has issued a Regulation 28
FIB removed painful stimulus; pre-administered opiates caused apnoea, this went unrecognised.

NRLS data reveals:
- Poor or no documentation of procedure in ED
- Poor or no post procedure observations in ED

An ED LocSSIP/guideline should include documentation of:
- Site, side, dose and time of block
- Frequency of post procedure observations
  
  A minimum would be at 5, 10, 15, 30 mins post procedure

For other RCEM issued Safety Alerts and Safety Newsflashes see:
www.rcem.ac.uk/safetyalerts

Safety Newsflash: Retained Guidewires

8 of the 16 NEVER EVENTS (reported over the past 2 years) in the ED are RETAINEDGUIDEWIRES following chest drain and central line insertion

**NRLS advice**
- Standardised process for guidewire management during central venous access:
  - Two person process (operator and observer)
  - Visual confirmation of guidewire removal by both persons
  - Verbal communication of guidewire removal by both persons
  - Documentary confirmation of guidewire removal by both persons
  - Training and education to emphasise appropriate control of guidewires

**Checklist**
Use of ‘WHO’ type checklist as recommended by National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures.

**Invasive procedure checklist for EDs**
DO NOT include all invasive procedures including chest drain, central line, I&I, all catheters with guidewires.

For other RCEM issued Safety Alerts and Safety Newsflashes see:
www.rcem.ac.uk/safetyalerts
